Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

932087 OCT 12 1993

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

OCTOBER TERM, 1993

DR. SIMON BANKS, J.D. T/A JOB PROTECTORS
FORMER ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES

Petitioner

SUPPLEMENT TO
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Dr. Simon Banks, J.D.
Job Protectors
Former Administrative Law Judges
468 M Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20024
[202] 554-5627

Case No. 2: 92-SP-652

6 pp

IIST OF PARTIES

The following parties appeared below.

PARTY PETITIONER:

Dr. Simon Banks, J.D.

468 M Street, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20024

[202] 554-5627

PARTY RESPONDENT:

Stuart Pierson, Esq.

Chairman,

District of Columbia

Court of Appeals

Committee on Unauthorized

Practice of Law

500 Indiana Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DR. SIMON BANKS, J.D.
d/b/a Job Protectors
Former Administrative Law Judges

Petitioner,

v.
Civil Action No. 92-1504

STUART F. PIERSON, Chairman,
District of Columbia Court of
Appeals Committee on Unauthorized
Practice of Law

Respondent

FILED JUL 13 1992

This case comes before the Court on Dr. Simon Banks' Motion to Join. Petitioner seeks to join the present action with other actions that petitioner has filed in this courthouse. However, the present action has been remanded to the District of Columbia Court of Appeals. Therefore, the Court concludes that the

present motion is moot.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Dr. Simon Banks' Motion to Join is moot.

Date: JUL 13 1992

JOHN GARRETT PENN
CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 92-8044
September Term, 1992

92cv02429

United States Court of Appeals

For the District of Columbia Circuit

FILED APR 26 1993

In Re:

Simon Banks,
RON GARVIN
Petitioner

CLERK

BEFORE: Wald, Ruth B. Ginsburg and Silberman,
Circuit Judges

O R D E R

Upon consideration of the petition for writ of mandamus; the motion to join and/or for mandamus directing the district court to join; the motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration and for summary dismissal and the motion for reconsideration and for summary dismissal, it is

ORDERED that the motion for leave to

file a motion for reconsideration and for summary dismissal be denied. See D.C. Cir. Rule 7(j)(1) (no responsive pleading to a petition for a writ of mandamus shall be permitted unless requested by the court). The Clerk is directed to return the lodged document. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the petition for a writ of mandamus be denied. The district court properly denied Banks' petition for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1443 because Banks failed to demonstrate that the proceeding in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals ("DCCA") deprives him of a right arising "under a federal law 'providing for specific civil rights stated in terms of racial equality,'" and that he "is 'denied or cannot enforce' the specific federal rights" in that court. See Johnson v. Mississippi, 421 U.S. 213, 219 (1974) (quoting Georgia v. Rachel, 384 U.S. 780, 792 (1966)). Further, this court is without jurisdiction to issue a writ of mandamus to the DCCA, or to review an order of that court. See District of Columbia Court of Appeals v. Feldman, 460 U.S. 462, 476 (1983). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to join and/or for mandamus directing the district court to join be dismissed as moot.

Per Curiam